Abstract

Feasibility and Acceptability of Recruitment and Retention in a Remote Trial of Gatekeeper Training for Military Veterans

Alan Robert Teo^{1,2,3}, MS, MD; Aaron Alexander Call¹, MEd; Elizabeth R Hooker¹, MS, MPH; Clarissa Fong⁴, MS; Elizabeth Karras⁵, PhD; Steven K Dobscha^{1,2}, MD

¹HSR&D Center to Improve Veteran Involvement in Care, VA Portland Health Care System, Portland, OR, United States

²Department of Psychiatry, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, United States

⁴OHSU Brain Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, United States

⁵Center of Excellence for Suicide Prevention, Canandaigua VA Medical Center, Canandaigua, NY, United States

Corresponding Author:

Alan Robert Teo, MS, MD HSR&D Center to Improve Veteran Involvement in Care VA Portland Health Care System 3710 SW US Veterans Hospital Rd (R&D 66) Portland, OR, 97239 United States Phone: 1 5032208262 ext 52461 Email: teoa@ohsu.edu

Abstract

Background: SAVE (Signs; Ask; Validate; Encourage) is a brief gatekeeper training designed to teach lay individuals how to identify and assist military veterans at risk for suicide. SAVE can be delivered asynchronously using a web-based video format, but no studies of the effectiveness of SAVE exist.

Objective: The aim of this project was to determine the feasibility and acceptability of recruitment and retention in a remote trial of SAVE.

Methods: We conducted a social media campaign using sponsored Facebook posts (ads) to recruit veterans, including those outside the Veterans Affairs network of care, and their loved ones. Participants (N=214) were randomized to SAVE or a sham video training unrelated to suicide prevention and followed for 6 months. We also conducted qualitative interviews with a subgroup (n=15) and used a mixed methods framework to integrate findings.

Results: At baseline, most participants were a family member or friend of a veteran (146/214, 68.2%), and 47.7% (102/214) knew at least one veteran or service member who had died by suicide. Across both study arms, 73.8% (158/214) responded to at least 3 of 6 follow-up surveys and 72.4% (155/214) completed follow-up at 6 months. Themes from interviews indicated the following three barriers to study participation: generic posts, copy (ad text) referring to "research," and Facebook as a platform. There were 5 facilitators to participation: audience segmentation focused on veterans' family members and friends, an urgent call to action to help a veteran, prior exposure to suicide, emphasizing the benefits of receiving training, and using a university as the campaign messenger.

Conclusions: A social media campaign was a feasible and acceptable approach to recruiting and retaining participants—especially the loved ones of veterans with prior exposure to suicide—for a fully remote trial of SAVE gatekeeper training. Several campaign strategies may be applied to further promote remote study participation in this population.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT04565951; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04565951

Conflicts of Interest: None declared.

(*iproc 2022;8(1):e39402*) doi: <u>10.2196/39402</u>

KEYWORDS

suicide; social media; virtual; pilot; veterans; caregiver



³School of Public Health, Oregon Health & Science University and Portland State University, Portland, OR, United States

IPROCEEDINGS

Edited by S Pagoto; this is a non-peer-reviewed article. Submitted 09.05.22; accepted 24.06.22; published 06.07.22. <u>Please cite as:</u> Teo AR, Call AA, Hooker ER, Fong C, Karras E, Dobscha SK Feasibility and Acceptability of Recruitment and Retention in a Remote Trial of Gatekeeper Training for Military Veterans iproc 2022;8(1):e39402 URL: https://www.iproc.org/2022/1/e39402 doi: 10.2196/39402 PMID:

©Alan Robert Teo, Aaron Alexander Call, Elizabeth R Hooker, Clarissa Fong, Elizabeth Karras, Steven K Dobscha. Originally published in Iproceedings (https://www.iproc.org), 06.07.2022. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in Iproceedings, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on https://www.iproc.org/, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

